

# Lecture 17 - Patching

We assume the "running assumptions" from last time. So  $e \in S_2(\Gamma, \mathcal{O})$

$$\mathcal{S} = (\bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}_g, S = \{l | N\} \cup \{p\}, \Psi = \eta \bar{\epsilon}^{-1}, \mathcal{O}, \{D_v^{mn}\}_{v|w} \cup \{D_p^{ord}\})$$

$\bar{\rho}_g \mapsto m \subset \mathbb{T}^{S, w, v}$  and we have a surj  $\mathbb{W}_k$ -alg map

$$R_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^S(\Gamma)_m$$

Goal This map is an isomorphism

Let  $(\mathcal{Q}, \{\alpha_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{Q}})$  be a Taylor-Wiles datum.  $\mapsto \Lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}$

Let  $\mathbb{T}^{SUB}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{Q}})_{m_{\mathcal{Q}}}$  be the subalg of  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(H, (\Psi, \mathcal{O})_{m_{\mathcal{Q}}})$  gen by  $T_{\mathcal{Q}}, S_{\mathcal{Q}} \forall l \in SUB \text{ and } \langle \sigma \rangle \forall \sigma \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}$ .

Thm We have a cts Galois rep

$$\rho_{\mathcal{Q}}: G_{\mathcal{Q}, SUB} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{T}^{SUB}(\Gamma)_{m_{\mathcal{Q}}})$$

s.t.

(a)  $\forall l \in SUB, \text{ char poly } \rho_{\mathcal{Q}}(F_{S \setminus l}) = X^2 - T_l X + l S_l$

(b)  $\rho_{\mathcal{Q}}|_{G_{\mathcal{Q}_v}} \in D_v \quad \forall v \in S$

(c)  $\forall v \in \mathcal{Q}, \rho_{\mathcal{Q}}|_{I_v} \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \chi_v \end{pmatrix}$  where  $\chi_v \circ \text{Art}_{\mathcal{Q}_v}(\sigma) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ .

Proof Exercise (as last lecture using local-global compatibility).

Note that  $\eta_{\mathbb{Q}} = (\det \rho_{\mathbb{Q}})^{-1}$  is a finite  $p$ -power order character, hence admits a square root  $\eta_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

The  $\rho_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \eta_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  is of type  $S_{\mathbb{Q}}$

$$\Rightarrow R_{S_{\mathbb{Q}}} \rightarrow \Pi^{S_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\Gamma)_{m_{\mathbb{Q}}} \text{ and } H_1(Y_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{O})_{m_{\mathbb{Q}}}$$

is an  $R_{S_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ -module compatible with the  $\mathcal{O}[\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}]$ -structure.

Prop There is an integer  $q \geq 0$ , a CNho-aly  $R_{\infty}$  and a fcy  $R_{\infty}$ -module  $M_{\infty}$  satisfying the following

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}[x_1, \dots, y_q] & \mathcal{O}[x_1, \dots, x_q] \\ \Downarrow & \Downarrow \\ S_{\infty} & \rightarrow R_{\infty} \simeq M_{\infty} \\ & \downarrow \Downarrow \\ R_{\mathbb{Q}} =: R & \simeq M =: H_1(Y, \mathcal{O})_m \end{array}$$

1.  $M_{\infty}$  is a finite free  $S_{\infty}$ -module
2. We have surjective maps  $R_{\infty} \twoheadrightarrow R$  and  $M_{\infty} \twoheadrightarrow M$  such that  $\ker(R_{\infty} \twoheadrightarrow R) \subseteq \mathfrak{a} R_{\infty}$  and  $\ker(M_{\infty} \twoheadrightarrow M) = \mathfrak{a} M_{\infty}$ , where  $\mathfrak{a} = (y_1, \dots, y_q) \subseteq S_{\infty}$ .

Assuming this for now, we have

The  $R_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \Pi^{S_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\Gamma)_{m_{\mathbb{Q}}}$  is an isomorphism of local complete intersections.

Proof Since  $M_{\infty}$  is free  $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$  and the  $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$ -module structure factors through  $R_{\infty}$ , we have

$$1+g \geq \dim_{R_{\infty}}(M_{\infty}) \geq \text{depth}_{R_{\infty}}(M_{\infty}) \geq \text{depth}_{\mathcal{O}_{S_0}}(M_{\infty}) = 1+g$$

So all these inequalities are equalities

Since  $R_{\infty}$  is regular,  $M_{\infty}$  has finite length projective resolution (Koszul)

The Auslander-Buchsbaum formula then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{projdim}_{R_{\infty}}(M_{\infty}) &= \text{depth}(R_{\infty}) - \text{depth}_{R_{\infty}}(M_{\infty}) \\ &= 1+g - (1+g) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

So  $M_{\infty}$  is a projective  $R_{\infty}$ -module, hence free since  $R_{\infty}$  is local.

Then  $M \cong M_{\infty}/\alpha M_{\infty}$  is a free  $R_{\infty}/\alpha R_{\infty}$ -module.

Since this action factors through surjections

$$R_{\infty}/\alpha R_{\infty} \rightarrow R_g \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^g(\Gamma)_m$$

these maps are isos. Finally,  $R_g$  is a complete intersection since we have found a presentation

$$R_g \cong \mathcal{O}[\![x_1, \dots, x_g]\!] / (y_1, \dots, y_g)$$

$$\text{and } \dim R_g = \dim \mathbb{T}^g(\Gamma)_m = 1. \quad \square$$

Proof of Prop. Set  $\mathfrak{q} = \ker(\mathcal{O}_{S_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^g)$ ,  $d = \text{rank } M$ ,  $S_0 = \mathcal{O}[\![z_1, \dots, z_d]\!] / \mathfrak{q}$

For any  $N \geq 1$ , let

$$\alpha_N := \ker(S_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})^g) \subset S_0$$

$$S_N := S_0 / (\alpha_N)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_N := (\alpha_N, \text{Ann}_R(M)^N) \subset R$$

We define a patching datum of level  $N$  to be a triple

$$(f, X, g)$$

where

- $f: R_\infty \rightarrow R(\mathcal{O}_N)$  is a surjection in  $\text{CWL}_0$
- $X$  is an  $R_\infty \otimes_{S_N}$ -module, finite free  $(S_N)$  such that
  - $\text{im}(S_N \rightarrow \text{End}_0 X) \subseteq \text{im}(R_\infty \rightarrow \text{End}_0 X)$ , and
  - $\text{im}(a \rightarrow \text{End}_0 X) \subseteq \text{im}(b \circ f \rightarrow \text{End}_0 X)$ .
- $g: X/a \xrightarrow{\sim} M/(a^N)$  is an iso of  $R_\infty$ -mods

Two patching data  $(f, X, g)$  and  $(f', X', g')$  of level  $N$  are isomorphic if

- $f = f'$
- $\exists$  an iso  $X \rightarrow X'$  of  $R_\infty \otimes_{S_N}$ -mods compatible with  $g$  and  $g'$ .

Note There are only finitely many iso classes of patching data of a fixed level  $N$ .

Note also that if  $M \geq N \geq 1$  and  $D = (f, X, g)$  is a patching datum of level  $M$ , then

$$D \text{ mod } N := (f \text{ mod } \mathcal{O}_N, X \otimes_{S_M} S_N, g \otimes_{S_M} S_N)$$

is a patched datum of level  $N$ .

For each  $N \geq 1$ , we can choose a TW datum  $(\mathcal{Q}_N, \{\alpha_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{O}_N})$  of level  $N \geq 1$  such that  $\forall N \geq 1$

- $|\mathcal{Q}_N| = q$
- $h_{\mathcal{O}_N}^1(\mathcal{Q}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(T)) = 0$

By all our work so far for any  $N \geq 1$ , we can then define a patching datum of level  $N$  by

$$D_N := (f_N, X_N, g_N)$$

with  $\bullet f_N : R_\infty \rightarrow R_{S_{\mathbb{Q}_N}} \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}_N$

where the map  $\mathcal{O}[\![x_1, \dots, x_g]\!] \rightarrow R_{S_{\mathbb{Q}_N}}$  comes from the fact that the relative  $\mathcal{O}$ -tangent space of  $R_{S_{\mathbb{Q}_N}}$  has  $\dim$  equal to

$$h_{S_{\mathbb{Q}_N}}^1(\mathcal{O}, \text{ad}^0 \mathfrak{p}) = g \quad \text{under our running assumptions (Lecture 12)}$$

$\bullet H_N := H_1(Y_{\mathbb{Q}_N}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{Q}_N}} \otimes_{S_{\mathbb{Q}_N}} S_N$  (Lecture 15 and today's lecture)

$\bullet g_N$  is induced from the iso from the  $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}_N}$ -cohom of  $H_1(Y_{\mathbb{Q}_N}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{Q}_N}}$  to  $H = H_1(Y, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}$  (Lecture 15)

Then for any  $M \geq N \geq 1$ , we have a patching datum of level  $N$ :

$$D_{M,N} := D_M \text{ mod } N = (f_{M,N}, X_{M,N}, g_{M,N})$$

Since for any fixed  $N \geq 1$ , there are infinitely many  $M \geq 1$  and only finitely many isomorphism classes of level  $N$ , we can find a subsequence  $(M_N)_{N \geq 1}$  of  $(M)_{M \geq 1}$  such that

$$D_{M_{N+1}, N+1} \text{ mod } N \cong D_{M_N, N}$$

We then define

$\bullet M_\infty := \varprojlim_N X_{M_N}$

$\bullet R_\infty \rightarrow R$  is  $\varprojlim_N f_{M_N, N}$

•  $M_\infty \twoheadrightarrow M \cong \varprojlim_N g_{M_N, N}$

Since -  $\text{im}(S_N \rightarrow \text{End}_0 X_{M_N, N}) \subseteq \text{im}(R_\infty \rightarrow \text{End}_0 X_{M_N, N})$  and  
 -  $\text{im}(c_N \rightarrow \text{End}_0 X_{M_N, N}) \subseteq \text{im}(k_N f_{M_N, N} \rightarrow \text{End}_0 X_{M_N, N})$

we have that

-  $\text{im}(S_\infty \rightarrow \text{End}_0 M_\infty) \subseteq \text{im}(R_\infty \rightarrow \text{End}_0 M_\infty)$ , and  
 -  $\text{im}(c_\infty \rightarrow \text{End}_0 M_\infty) \subseteq \text{im}(k_N (R_\infty \rightarrow R) \rightarrow \text{End}_0 M_\infty)$

And since  $S_\infty$  is a power series ring, we can choose a map  $S_\infty \rightarrow R_\infty$  lifting  $S_\infty \rightarrow \text{End}_0 M_\infty$ .

The resulting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S_\infty \rightarrow R_\infty & \twoheadrightarrow & M_\infty \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 R & \twoheadrightarrow & M
 \end{array}$$

satisfies the statement of the proposition.

□