

Lecture 14 - TW paves and mod forms, II

\mathcal{O} , \mathbb{F} , $p > 2$ as before, $\varpi = \text{wit of } \mathcal{O}$

$\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}, S} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F})$ abs unred

$\bar{\rho} \cong \bar{\rho}_g$ for g wt 2 level Γ torsion free

$$\Pi^{S, \text{unr}} := \mathcal{O}[\{T_e, S_e\}_{e \in S}]$$

$$\Pi^S(\Gamma) := \text{im}(\Pi^{S, \text{unr}} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}} H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}))$$

$$Y = Y(\Gamma)$$

The $\bar{\rho}$ \mapsto max ideal \mathfrak{m} of $\Pi^S(\Gamma)$ that we also view as a max ideal \mathfrak{m} of $\Pi^{S, \text{unr}}$

Key Fact: $H^i(Y, \mathbb{F})_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ if $i \neq 1$.

Consequence: Apply cohen to $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\varpi} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \rightarrow 0$ and localize at \mathfrak{m}

- $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ if $i \neq 1$

- $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is p -torsion free and

$$H^1(Y, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F} \cong H^1(Y, \mathbb{F})_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

Fixed TW datum $(Q, \{a_v\}_{v \in Q})$, defined

$$\Gamma_Q(Q) := \Gamma \cap \Gamma_Q(\prod_{v \in Q} v) \mapsto Y_Q(Q)$$

$$\Gamma_Q \triangleleft \Gamma_Q(Q) \text{ s.t. } \Gamma_Q(Q) / \Gamma_Q \cong \Delta_Q = \text{max } p\text{-power quotient of } \Gamma$$

$\mapsto Y_Q$

Similarly have $\Pi^{S \cup Q}(\Gamma_Q(Q))$, $\Pi^{S \cup Q}(\Gamma_Q)$.

$$\prod_{v \in Q} (\mathbb{Z}/v)^{\times}$$

Lemma Let $m^{\mathbb{Q}} = m \cap \prod^{S \cup \mathbb{Q}, \text{univ}}$. The natural inclusion
 $\prod^{S \cup \mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma)_{m^{\mathbb{Q}}} \rightarrow \prod^S(\Gamma)_m$
 is an isomorphism.

Proof By Nakayama's Lemma, it suffices to prove
 $\prod^S(\Gamma)_m / (m^{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{F}$

This reduces to proving that for all $v \in \mathbb{Q}$
 $\text{Tr}_{S, v} \text{mod } m^{\mathbb{Q}} \in \mathbb{F}$

Since $\bar{\rho}$ is absolutely irreducible, we have Galois reps

$$\rho_m : G_{\mathbb{Q}, S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\prod(\Gamma)_m) \text{ s.t. } \forall l \in S, \\ \text{char poly } \rho_m(\text{Frob}_l) = X^2 - T_l X + l \kappa_l$$

$$\rho_{m^{\mathbb{Q}}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}, S \cup \mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\prod(\Gamma)_{m^{\mathbb{Q}}}) \text{ s.t. } \forall l \in S \cup \mathbb{Q} \\ \text{char poly } \rho_{m^{\mathbb{Q}}}(\text{Frob}_l) = X^2 - T_l X + l \kappa_l.$$

Consider the Gal rep $\rho := \rho_m \text{ mod } (m^{\mathbb{Q}})$. Then
 $\forall l \in S \cup \mathbb{Q}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr } \rho(\text{Frob}_l) &= \text{tr } \rho_m(\text{Frob}_l) \text{ mod } (m^{\mathbb{Q}}) \\ &= T_l \text{ mod } (m^{\mathbb{Q}}) \\ &= \text{tr } \rho_{m^{\mathbb{Q}}}(\text{Frob}_l) \text{ mod } (m^{\mathbb{Q}}) \\ &= \text{tr } \bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_l) \\ &\in \mathbb{F} \end{aligned}$$

By continuity of $\text{tr } \rho$, we deduce that $\text{tr } \rho$ is \mathbb{F} -valued.
 In part, for $v \in \mathbb{Q}$,

$T_v \text{ mod } (\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{Q}}) = \text{tr}_0(\text{Frob}_v) \in \mathbb{F}$.
 Usual defn in place of tr shows $S_v \text{ mod } (\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{Q}}) \in \mathbb{F}$ for
 all $v \in \mathbb{Q}$ as well. \square

In particular, we see that

$H^i(Y, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{Q}}} = H^i(Y, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}$
 and similarly with \mathbb{F} -coefficients.
 Because of this we will just write $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ from
 now on.

Define $\prod_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{SUQ, univ}} = \prod^{\text{SUQ, univ}} [\{U_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{Q}}]$

For each $v \in \mathbb{Q}$, choose $\tilde{\alpha}_v \in \mathcal{O}$ of $\alpha_v \in \mathbb{F}$ and
 define

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathfrak{m}_{\alpha} = (\mathfrak{m}, \{U_v - \tilde{\alpha}_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{Q}}) \subset \prod_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{SUQ, univ}}$$

The old defn $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is in the support of
 $H^1(Y_0(\mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{F})$, although we will show this in the
 course of proving Prop 1 below. Assuming this for now, note
 $\prod_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{SUQ}} (\Gamma_0(\mathbb{Q})) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{SUQ}} (\Gamma_0(\mathbb{Q}))$
 is a map of finite \mathcal{O} -algs

$\Rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{SUQ}} (\Gamma_0(\mathbb{Q}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a complete local ring +
 $\prod_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{SUQ}} (\Gamma_0(\mathbb{Q}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ a complete semilocal ring,
 hence \cong the product of its local rings and
 $\prod_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{SUQ}} (\Gamma_0(\mathbb{Q}))_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is one of these local rings.

Prop 1 The natural map $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^1(Y_0(Q), \mathcal{O})$
 defines an isomorphism of $\mathbb{T}^{SU_Q, \text{unv}}$ -modules

$$H^1(Y, \mathcal{O})_m \rightarrow H^1(Y_0(Q), \mathcal{O})_{m_{\mathbb{Q}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{O}^{\times}$$

with inverses induced by the trace map, up to \mathcal{O}^{\times} .

To prove this first note that since these are both free \mathcal{O} -mods and

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(Y, \mathcal{O})_m \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F} &\cong H^1(Y, \mathbb{F})_m \\ H^1(Y_0(Q), \mathcal{O})_{m_{\mathbb{Q}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{F} &\cong H^1(Y_0(Q), \mathbb{F})_{m_{\mathbb{Q}}} \end{aligned}$$

it suffices to prove that

$$H^1(Y, \mathbb{F})_m \rightarrow H^1(Y_0(Q), \mathbb{F})_{m_{\mathbb{Q}}}$$

is an isomorphism (Exercise).

Let $K = GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_v)$, $I = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in K \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{v} \right\}$

For $U \leq K$ open compact, let

$\mathcal{H}_U =$ convolution alg of compactly supported bi- U -invariant

funcs $f: GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_v) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$

(generated by double coset operators $[UgU], g \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_v)$)

Set $M = H^1(Y_0(Q), \mathbb{F})_m$

$N = H^1(Y, \mathbb{F})_m$

The M is an \mathcal{H}_I -module and N is an \mathcal{H}_K -module.

Some isomorphisms below will depend on a choice of square root $v^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of v in our coefficient field F . But $v \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, so we can choose $v^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1$.

We have $\mathcal{H}_K = F[T_v, S_v]$ with $T_v = [K(\sqrt{v} + 1)K]$, $S_v = [K(\sqrt{v} - 1)K]$.

Let T be the diagonal torus in GL_2

$X_*(T)$ be the group of characters $= \mathbb{Z}\lambda_1 + \mathbb{Z}\lambda_2$ with
 $\lambda_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda_2(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & t \end{pmatrix}$

$W = \{1, w\}$ be the Weyl group

Satake iso: $\mathcal{H}_K \cong F[X_*(T)]^W$

$$T_v = v^{\frac{1}{2}} T \mapsto \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$$

$$S_v = v^{\frac{1}{2}} S \mapsto \lambda_1 \lambda_2$$

We have an analogous description of \mathcal{H}_I :

Lemma 1: Using the fact that $v \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_I \cong F[X_*(T) \rtimes W]$$

$$[I \chi \nu I] \leftrightarrow \lambda \in X_*(T)_+ = \{a\lambda_1 + b\lambda_2 \mid a > b\}$$

$$[I \tilde{w} I] \leftrightarrow w \in W$$

$$\uparrow \tilde{w} \in N(T) \text{ a lift of } w$$

Under this iso, the centre $Z(\mathcal{H}_I)$ of \mathcal{H}_I corresponds to $F[X_*(T)]^W$ and the composite

$$F[X_*(T)]^W \cong Z(\mathcal{H}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_K \\ f \mapsto [K]f$$

is the Satake isomorphism.

Remark This follows from the Bernstein presentation, or Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation of H_I . See Kleshov - Thorne, Potential automorphy and Leopoldt's conjecture, §5 for more details.

Note for later that under this isomorphism

$$\lambda, 1 \mapsto [I \lambda, (v) I] = [I (v^{-1}) I] = Uv$$

Lemma 2: The inclusion $N \subseteq M$ is split by $M \rightarrow N$
 $x \mapsto [K]x$

Proof: Geometrically, the map
 $M = H^1(Y, \mathbb{Q})_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow N = H^1(Y, \mathbb{F})_{\mathfrak{m}}$
 $x \mapsto [K]x$

is the trace map (in terms of group cohomology, it is restriction).

Thus the composite $N \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{[K]} N$ is multiplication by $[K: \mathbb{F}] = v+1 \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ as $v=1$ in \mathbb{F} and $p>2$. \square

Note that in H_I

$$\begin{aligned} [K] &= [I] + [Iw_0 I] \\ &= 1 + w_0 \\ &= \sum_{w \in W} w \end{aligned}$$

Since $|W|$ is invertible in \mathbb{F} , $M^W = (\sum_{w \in W} w)M$.
 We also know that $N \subseteq M^W$. Then Lemma 2 gives

$$N = M^W = [K]M = (\sum_{w \in W} w)M$$

We know that T_v and S_v act on N by $\alpha_v + \beta_v$ and $\alpha_v \beta_v$, resp.
 Define the maximal ideal

$$\mathfrak{n} = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \alpha_v - \beta_v, \lambda_1 \lambda_2 - \alpha_v \beta_v) \subset \mathbb{F}[X_v(\tau)]^W \cong \mathbb{F}[T_v, S_v]$$

Then $N_{\mathfrak{n}} = N$.

Since $\alpha_v \neq \beta_v$, there are precisely two maximal ideals $m_\alpha, m_\beta \subset \mathbb{F}[X_v(\tau)] = \mathbb{F}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$ above \mathfrak{n} , given by

$$m_\alpha = (\lambda_1 - \alpha_v, \lambda_2 - \beta_v)$$

$$m_\beta = (\lambda_1 - \beta_v, \lambda_2 - \alpha_v)$$

Note that since λ_1 corresponds to U_v under the iso of Lemma 1, we have

$$M_{m_\alpha} = H^1(Y_0(\mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{F})_{m_\alpha}$$

So we want to show that the composite

$$N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M_{m_\alpha}$$

is an isomorphism.

Note that $N' = N_{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $\mathfrak{n} = m_\alpha \cap \mathbb{F}[X_v(\tau)]$, so it suffices to show

$$N' \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{n}} \rightarrow M_{m_\alpha}$$

is an isomorphism

Lemma 3 $M_{\mathfrak{n}} \cong M_{m_\alpha} \oplus M_{m_\beta}$ and $w_0 \in W$ maps M_{m_α} isomorphically onto M_{m_β} .

Proof Since M is finite dimensional over F , the action of $[F[X_0(T)]]_n$ on M_n factors through an Artinian quotient A of $[F[X_0(T)]]_n$. Since m_α and m_β are the two distinct maximal ideals of the Artinian ring A , we have $A \cong A_{m_\alpha} \times A_{m_\beta}$, which induces the decomposition $M \cong M_{m_\alpha} \oplus M_{m_\beta}$.

It is straightforward from the definitions that V permutes m_α and m_β , and the 2nd claim follows. \square

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now since } N &= M^W = [K]M \\ &= M_n^W = [K]M_n \end{aligned}$$

it follows that the commutative

$$N \rightarrow M_n = M_{m_\alpha} \oplus M_{m_\beta} \rightarrow M_{m_\alpha}$$

and

$$M_{m_\alpha} \rightarrow M_{m_\alpha} \oplus M_{m_\beta} = M_n \xrightarrow{[K]} N$$

are inverse isos, up to F^X .