Lecture 1 - Infroduction

be a cusp form of ut k=1, [pvo] J, (N), N=1. V Hecker operators Te, <l> V primes ltN. Let $f \in S_k(\Gamma;(N), \mathbb{C})$ Assure Pis an eigenform Write $T_e f = a_e f, \quad C_e G C$ $\langle l \rangle f = \chi(l) f, \quad \chi : (Z/NZ)^{\times} \rightarrow C.$ Fix $2: \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}_p$, and by abuse of notation, write $q_l = \hat{l}(q_l)$ and N = 10N. $\chi = 2 \circ \chi$. Kule The choice of 2 is not as brutal as it may seen. The Theorem below only depends on the prime above p induced by 2 in the field Q (Eae, x10,3 etn) C C, which is known to be timber Q. However, it is offer conversion just to fix C > Q arcs and to all and be done with it, eq. when dealing with multiple moduler C tours. Ruh 1. By Chebotarse donsity, we see that det p= XGp with E, the p-codic cycletonic character. In particular, it CGG is a choice of couplex cary, then since $X(-1) = (-1)^k$, we have $det \rho_p(c) = -1$, i.e. ρ_s is cold.

2. Won't exploin what "petentially semistable" means. Couj 1 (Fontoine-Mozw) Let $p: G_{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\overline{\mathcal{Q}})$ be a desired rop that unranistical outside Bustels very primes and is potentially semistable at p. Assume there is no is Z such that $\mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{G}_p^{i}$ is an over (i.e. not odd) representation footoring through a finite coder quotient of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{Q}}$. Then $\exists j \in \mathbb{Z}$ st. $\mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{G}_p^{i} \cong \mathcal{Q}_p$ for some cuspidal eigentern f. Rule Almost completely provod. In porticular, it is know if phas regular Hodge - Tats weights and p35. Canj 2 (Fontains - Mazw - Langlands) Let F be a number field and Tet \mathcal{O}^{\sharp} $\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{F}} := \mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{al}}(\mathsf{F}/\mathsf{F})$ be a continuous invited rop that is invantised at all but finitely many places of F and potentially semistable at all places above \mathcal{P} . Then $\mathcal{P}^{\sharp} \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{T},\mathsf{T}}$ for some cuspited automorphic representation \mathcal{T} of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{Ln}}(\mathsf{Ap})$ and some $\mathcal{L}^{\sharp} \mathcal{G}^{\mathfrak{s}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{p}}$. Why do we care?

1. Philosephically, this is a non-abstran class field they. 2. Currently our only way to inderstand analytic properties of arthurtic L-functions, e.g. analytic continuation.

Eq Ceri 1, 1030 2, implies the moduleosty, have analytic continuation, of the L-functions attached to elliptic curves /Q, MSD. Over operal number fields F. IP F=B or real quodratic, this is known. IP F is incoming quedrotic, then it is known that a positive proportion of alliptio auros / F are modules. The mixed signature case, R.g. F= (2(2)) is hopsless at present.

How do we provo Canj 1 (cr 2)? Ino Stops: 1. Provo DE De for sens modular form go (Rossidual modularity / Sours's Carj) 2. Prove that if $\vec{p} \neq \vec{p}_{g}$ for some mechalis four g, then $P^{2}P_{f}$ for some mechalis for P. (Modulesty [ithing]) This cause : Stop 2. Stop 2 (a) Construct Zp-alys R and T such that Szp-alg hans? ~ Stode sign systems in a space of mediator } T = Ep S form that p should wise from SZp-clg hens ? ~ SGal reps that should can activally arise?
R=Qp
SQp from the above space of module forms
 (b) Castruct a Zp-oly map R->T (c) Show the map in (b) is an ISO, or at loost holnos Ride Trod

· Defernation theory and minimal modulerity lifting for GL2(Q) 4-5 weeks Rough plan GL2(F) Per F totally real and the non-minut coss.
Mapple a little higher-rank carjugate setPolicel study.
4-5 weeks. • $GL_2(P)$, F = CM2-4 wysles.